

# Developing a Holistic Approach to Employee Benefit Administration

A FORUM FOR EB INNOVATORS February 22, 2024



# Disclaimer

Due diligence was taken in preparing this information and it was believed to be correct and accurate at the time it was disseminated. All content in this presentation is the property of Medcom Benefit Solutions and it is intended for the exclusive use of representatives from Assurex Global firms.



## Agency Introductions

ATTENDEES/PROFILES/AGENCY ORGANIZATION

#### Meet the Experts

Michael Bracken President, Medcom Benefit Solutions



#### Michelle Barki, RN, JD

Senior Legal Counsel, Health and Welfare Compliance Director



Derek Ashton, CEBS

Strategic Client

Relationship Consultant



#### Meagan Hendrix

Managing Senior Advisor, Health and Welfare Compliance **Daniel Vanek** Regional Sales Rep

**Evelee Lloyd** Business Development Specialist

> Joseph Ramsey Senior Account Executive, CDHP

**Tom Neal** ACA Sales Specialist





Special Guests:

Ellen Kelbert Career & Talent Development Consultant

**Pascale Head** Photographer/ Videographer **Bobby Randolph** Chief Technology Officer

> Missy Brown COBRA Director

Meet the Team





**Crystal Kirk** ACA Director

Christy Crow CDHP Director

**Skylar Ozanne** Senior Project Manager



1. ACA & Increasing IRS Activity

2. Compliance Communication That Inspires Client Action

- 3. Bridging the Compliance Gap
- 4. Medcom Technology Roadmap
- 5. Dealing with the ACA Back-Filing Challenge
- Johnson & Johnson Class Action Complaint: What Brokers Need to Know
- 7. EB Agency Operations Best Practices Discussion



Day 1 Agenda

# ACA & Increasing IRS Activity

Presented by: Michelle Barki & Tom Neal





# File Pay

#### **IRS Mission Statement**

Provide America's taxpayers top quality service by helping them understand and meet their tax responsibilities and enforce the law with integrity and fairness to all.

## Original Plan For ACA: Pay for Itself

- The CBO originally stated that for tax years 2015 and 2016, they would collect \$17 BILLION in ESRP penalties
- They estimated an additional \$167 billion over the next ten years
- IRS was confident they could collect this money
- 5699 and 226J Letters were mailed
- Right from the start, the money was not rolling in
- A report from the White House in 2021 showed that only 1% of the projected income has been recovered



#### Treasury Inspector General For Tax Administrator



- All IRS shortcomings, when it came to collections, we're reported to Congress on June 10, 2020
- Believed that under current assessment rate, they would collect only 8 billion of the 167 billion forecasted
- Resulted in tougher procedures and more attempts by IRS to collect money
- No more good faith since 2021
- No more mail-in filings that IRS could not input into systems
  - So as of 2023, filings of 10+ forms must be filed electronically including Form 1095-B for small levelfunded plans
- Since start of this year, IRS has pushed on both 226Js and 5699 letters

#### Current and Expected Trends for 2024

#### What We Have Seen

- Since tax year 2021, there has been an increase in 226J letters for employer-shared responsibility penalties
  - Remember there is no Statute of Limitations
- Upswing in Letters 5699 for failure to file and furnish

#### Looking into 2024

- Tax year 2021 is no longer a "good faith year, so expect to see penalty letters when we correct coding for ESRP letters
  - Will require a reasonable cause determination
- Expect penalties for failure to file forms electronically, especially when employer did not timely complete Form 8508
  - Easier in first year, but in second year must prove hardship
  - Think of your smaller level-funded groups



Confer with client.

Consult with professionals.

Respond to IRS.

Implement remedies.

Create a plan for avoiding future challenges.

## Leveraging for Prospecting & Client Retention







# Compliance Communication That Inspires Client Action

Presented by: Derek Ashton & Meagan Hendrix



## Compliance Change Communication



Our goal is to help you effectively provide clear and concise messaging on a timely basis to inform your clients of important and ever-changing compliance concerns, so they are inspired to take action.

When you hear the words "compliance change," what comes to mind?

## Two Types of Compliance Change

Regulatory Changes	<ul> <li>What changed?</li> <li>How does it affect you? the client?</li> <li>When is it effective?</li> <li>Are there options?</li> <li>What is the best way to handle compliance?</li> </ul>
Client-Specific Changes	<ul> <li>What has changed/will change?</li> <li>What compliance rules apply in light of the changes?</li> <li>What is the best timing?</li> <li>Are there options/alternatives?</li> <li>What is the best way to handle compliance?</li> </ul>

What types of communication channels do you currently use to communicate compliance changes to your clients?



## How Can This Be Accomplished...

#### Effectively, so that clients are:

- Informed
- Inspired

AND

#### Efficiently, so you are:

- Maximizing time
- Maximizing value to your firm and clients



## Types of Communication

#### Generalized

- Broadcast
- Saves time and effort

#### Customized

- Shows higher level of care & expertise
- More timeconsuming





Timing & follow Up











When one client needs multiple things, is it better to combine communications or separate them?



# Bridging the Compliance Gap

Presented by: Meagan Hendrix, Derek Ashton, & Evelee Lloyd



## Evelee Lloyd

Business Development Specialist & Bridge Leader *at your service*!











"I like the Medcom Bridge because it is a one-stop shop technological platform offering multiple services to Medcom's clients. The services provided within the Bridge are limitless. It is very user-friendly and easy to navigate throughout."

- Sue Lake, The Horton Group





# Questions?



# Medcom Technology Roadmap

Presented by: Bobby Randolph




# Dealing with the ACA Back-Filing Challenge

Presented by: Crystal Kirk, Michelle Barki, & Tom Neal



Steps for Dealing with Letter 5699 and Form 5005 In Form Letter 5005, IRS is asking whether the client was an ALE and whether they filed (best scenario).

Letter 5699 is proposed penalties (based on the number of W2 forms).

2

3

4

5

Determine whether client needed to file for that year. <u>ALE status</u> is based on prior year employee count. If not an ALE, show proof. DO THE CALCULATIONS.

If client qualified as ALE, did they file, either electronically or by mail (and do they have a return receipt?) Were they under 250 forms? Did they file a waiver with Form 8508?

They were an ALE and did not file, now what?

#### **Three-Prong Approach**





#### **Complete Back Filings**

Medcom handles back filings and provides letter indicating engagement to forward to IRS (good if you get inquiring letter 5005)

Payroll companies are not always equipped to do back filings Assess Reasonable Cause Determination

This is used if you get form letter 5699.

Medcom will write a reasonable cause determination based on facts and circumstance (included in back filing pricing).

#### **Determine Actual Penalties**

If all else fails, determine actual penalties. This can be done by back filing and penalties can be substantially reduced since now based on actual forms and full-time employee count, not on total W-2 employees.

# What is Reasonable Cause and Section 6724?

- Reasonable Cause determination is the same as for employers who need to file and furnish W-2s and other tax forms timely - no specific provision for ACA
- Section 6724: "No penalty shall be imposed under this part with respect to any failure if it is shown that such failure is due to reasonable cause and not to willful neglect"
- Reasonable cause determined by:

<u>Significant mitigating factors</u> including unfamiliarity with the forms and prior history of compliance

<u>Events beyond a filer's control</u> including unavailability of business records, actions based upon actions of agents, failure on payroll company-end, etc.

• Employer must show that they used reasonable business judgment in contracting with the agent (payroll company and provided the necessary information), yet there was still a failure



# What is Reasonable Cause and Section 6724?

- Employer must have acted in a responsible manner and show that they exercised due care
- IRS also evaluates the employer's actions once failure is discovered (this is the importance behind back-filing) - IRS IS LOOKING FOR VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE
- Remember COVID and use that if employers lost staff or faced other challenges that affected operations - must be careful if they received an Employee Retention Credit
- Reasonable cause and acting in Good Faith should never be presumed
- In the worst-case scenario, penalties can often be substantially reduced based on number of actual forms and not W-2s
- <u>Form 8508</u>





Ensure all back filings are done to show IRS that you want to voluntarily comply.

Challenge may be multiple payroll companies or previous broker.

Start with the year where you got form letter 5005 or 5699.

Make sure current year has also been filed.

Ensure filing is done correctly including coding and employee counts.

Respond quickly - time is of the essence.

#### What to Do





Check reporting status for all new clients and prospects. Any issues caught beforehand should be dealt with.



If failed to file and furnish and did not offer benefits, penalties could increase if IRS believes it is willful neglect.



Discuss the Importance of "Voluntary Compliance."



Implement remedies.



Create plan for avoiding future challenges.







## Johnson & Johnson Class Action Complaint: What Brokers Need to Know

Presented by: Michelle Barki



#### **Class Action Complaint**

A <u>Class Action Complaint</u> was filed on February 5, 2024, in the United States District Court for New Jersey against Johnson & Johnson and their handling of their Welfare Plan.



### **Breach of Fiduciary Duty**

- Complaint alleges a breach of their fiduciary duty of prudence when it comes to managing their pharmacy benefit
- Duty of prudence requires fiduciaries of group health plans to act with "care, skill, prudence, and diligence under circumstances then prevailing that a prudent man acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims" (29 u.S.C. §1104(a) (1)(B))
- Complaint states purport that J&J failed when monitoring their PBM, Express Scripts, owned by Cigna Corp since 2018



#### Traditional Pharmacy Benefit Managers (PBMs)

Transitional PBMs makes its money

The complaint alleges that

in four ways...

a p P S

Negotiated rates paid to the pharmacy when a prescription drug is filled, which is the price the pharmacy pays plus amount that PBM will make for their administration services (verifying eligibility and copayments)



Amount PBM then contracts with the group health plan for the amount the group health plan will pay for the medication (also known as the "spread")



Dispensing the medication themselves through their owned and operated prescription order services



Receiving rebates from the drug manufacturer to put their medication on their formulary

#### Allegation

- This case appeared similar to many COBRA class actions, with a Qualifying Beneficiary going to an attorney for HR issue such as termination due to discrimination not specific to an individual who was on FMLA and issue with reasonable accommodation, and lawyer now claiming she is a "whistleblower" in this class action
- This case involved a generic medication she was taking for multiple sclerosis, Teriflunomide (brand name Aubagio)
- Without insurance, it is Walgreens for \$40.55, at ShopRite for \$41.05, and at Walmart for \$76.41
- Contract between the PBM and Johnson & Johnson indicated the Plan would reimburse Express Scripts \$10,239.69
- The complaint listed pages of like samples of the Spread in the Johnson & Johnson/Express Scripts Contract



#### Other Allegations

- 1. Filling of prescriptions through their own mail-order pharmacy, which is more expensive than potentially filling at a pharmacy, but participant is deceived because the co-payments are different.
  - a. Typically, this is the process for "specialty drugs" on the formulary, but PBM decides what the specialty drugs are and often, they are the generics so they can mark up the prices more.
- 2. Rebates PBM gets from the drug manufacturer which are typically not shared with the group health plan.



#### Pass-Through PBM

- Johnson & Johnson could have used a pass-through PBM, which charges cost of the prescription filled at a pharmacy and a service charge
- Other Fortune 500 companies, like Dupont, utilize this type of PBM that greatly reduces the cost to the plan



#### Brokers were also targeted in this lawsuit for receiving "kickbacks" from the PBM

- It was found brokers could get \$1.00 to \$5.00 per RX filled
  - Allegations say one broker took \$6.50 and had it sent to a P.O. box in a different state
- Complaint implied that brokers do not act in the best interest of their employer client, but in their own best interest
- AON was the broker mentioned



## **Brokers**

#### Documentation



Complaint reviewed several articles over the years dealing with traditional PBMs and how their practices inflated the costs



Documented that other plan administrators did due diligence and negotiated other contracts



Provided information on cost from the National Average Drug Acquisition Cost (NADAC) database, which is available to the public

### What They Want

- Enjoin from further ERISA violations (also failed to provide plan documents upon request)
- Make good of all plan losses resulting from each breach of fiduciary duties
- Award actual damages
- Equitable relief to all plaintiffs, including premiums, claims payments, co-insurance, deductibles, and lost wages
- Statutory penalties
- Remove fiduciaries
- Other forms of monetary equitable relief
- Interest, pre-judgment, post-judgment, and statutory interest
- Attorney fees and costs



#### The Henkel of America Case

- Express Scripts was also implicated in a case potentially going to trial
- Henkel of America has a self-funded plan and the reinsurance carrier refused to pay \$50 million in drug claims related to a rare genetic disorder that was incurred by two of Henkel's employees
- Express Scripts was also supposed to review drug interactions, dosages, and potential drug interaction
- For one of the meds, the recommendation was 5 vials per week, and Express Script approved 66 vials per day
- The argument was that Express Scripts did not do any due diligence to approve the meds in such high dosages





#### Conclusion

- It will take the DOL, IRS, and HHS years to review the information captured in RXDC reporting and make recommendations
- Cases like Johnson & Johnson could garner a lot more attention to the practices, and the courts may be quicker to act



## EB Agency Operations -Best Practices Discussion

Presented by: Michael Bracken & Christy Crow



Attendees Discussion Sharing Time

**EB AGENCY OPERATIONS** 



### Day 1 Recap



ACA & Increasing IRS Activity Compliance Communication That Inspires Client Action Bridging the Compliance Gap Medcom Technology Roadmap Dealing with the ACA Back-Filing Challenge Johnson & Johnson Class Action Complaint: What Brokers Need to Know EB Agency Operations - Best Practices Discussion





# THANK YOU



